

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,178	01/23/2006	Kevin M. Flanigan	21101.0047U2	2241	
23859 NEEDLE & RO	7590 05/30/2007 OSENBERG, P.C.		EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			STRZELECKA, TERESA E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1637				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/539,178	FLANIGAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wo buy within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, belived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•			
1)□ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
· <u> </u>		action is non-final.		•			
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of							
	n(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	n(s) is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Clain	n(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Page 1	apers	•					
9)□ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examine	· ·	,				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.□	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
_	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Dr	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/539,178 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-18, drawn to a method of characterizing a nucleic acid region by performing amplifications in different reaction chambers, followed by sequencing of the amplicons and analyzing the sequences of the amplicons.

Group II, claim(s) 18-20, drawn to a primer set comprising primers in Tables 1, 2, 6 or 7.

- The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Gibbs et al. (Genomics, vol. 7, pp. 235-244, 1990; cited in the IDS) teaches a method of claim 1 (page 236, second and third paragraphs; page 237, second and fourth paragraphs).
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group I

- A) multi-exon gene is dystrophin (claim 3, in part; 16, 17),
- B) multi-exon gene is SOD-1 (claim 3, in part),

Application/Control Number: 10/539,178 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

C) multi-exon gene is NF-1 (claim 3, in part),

D) multi-exon gene is ATM (claim 3, in part),

E) multi-exon gene is dysferlin (claim 3, in part),

F) multi-exon gene is calpain (claim 3, in part),

G) multi-exon gene is sarcoglycans (claim 3, in part),

H) multi-exon gene is collagen VI (claim 3, in part),

I) multi-exon gene is Nebulin (claim 3, in part),

J) multi-exon gene is Titin (claim 3, in part).

Group II

A single primer set, i.e. two primers, need to be elected.

4. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a). The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 19 and 20.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical

Art Unit: 1637

features for the following reasons: the genes have different sequences and structures, and the primers amplify different fragments of the target.

Page 4

6. A telephone call was made to Christopher Curfman on May 14, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/539,178

Art Unit: 1637

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E. Strzelecka whose telephone number is (571) 272-0789. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Teresa E Strzelecka Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

Tersa: Strelectea 5/24/07 Page 5